UNITED STATES DEFAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/570,052	06/21/2006	Yasuo Kunugiza	082368-007000US	8162		
	7590 10/18/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER				
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	HAMA, JOANNE				
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1632			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)				
	10/570,052		KUNUGIZA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joanne Har	na, Ph.D.	1632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	THE MAILING DA rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136 his communication. A statutory period will for reply will, by statute, commonths after the mailing of the statute.	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no even il apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>21 Jur</u>	<u>ne 2006</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims			ė.					
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner	•		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b)] objected to by the F	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that a	-	*	•	. ' '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•	•							
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Residual Statement (a) Information Disclosure Statement (b) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			1) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 1632

This Application, filed June 21, 2006, is a 371 of PCT/JP04/12777, filed August 27, 2004 and claims priority to foreign Application 2003-307713, filed August 29, 2003, in Japan.

Amendments to the claims were filed August 21, 2006. Claims 2, 4, 5, 7-15, 17, 19, 20, 22-30, 32, 34, 35, 37-45 are amended.

Claims 1-45 are pending.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-7, 9-14, 16-22, 24-29, 31-37, 39-44, drawn to a method of treating a skin disorder comprising introducing a polynucleotides encoding hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and/or prostacyclin synthetase (PGIS), and to an agent comprising polynucelotides encoding hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and/or prostacyclin synthetase (PGIS).

Group 2, claim(s) 1-6, 8, 9-12, 15-21, 23-27, 30-36, 38-42, 45, drawn to a method of treating a skin disorder comprising introducing a polynucleotides comprising SEQ ID NOs. 1 or 2 and to an agent comprising polynucleotides comprising SEQ ID NOs. 1 or 2.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Unity of invention

Art Unit: 1632

between different categories of inventions will only be found to exist if the specific combinations are present. These combinations include:

- 1) a product and special process of manufacture of said product.
- 2) a product and a process of use of said product,
- 3) a product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and a process of use of said product,
- 4) a process and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process,
- 5) a product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process.

The allowed combinations do not include multiple products, multiple methods of using said product, and methods of making multiple products as claimed in the instant application, see MPEP § 1850.

In addition to this, Bander, US Patent 7,192,586 B2 teach that nucleic acids can be administered via needleless injection to treat skin lesions. For example, nucleic acids encoding anti-PMSA J591 (Bander, col. 72) can be administered via a needleless route (Bander, col. 80).

Each group is distinct from the other as each method requires different products to treat the skin disorders.

The claims are further <u>restricted</u>.

Groups 1 and 2 are drawn to the use of DNA, oligonucleotide, RNA, siRNA, and antisense (e.g. claim 3) and either a) DNA, oligonucleotide, RNA, b) siRNA or c) antisense must be elected. DNA, oligonucleotide, and RNA is distinct from siRNA and

Art Unit: 1632

antisense because while both groups comprise nucleic acids, DNA, oligonucleotide, and RNA comprise nucleic acids that encode proteins, while siRNA and antisense are nucleic acids that are used to decrease expression of nucleic acids that encode protein. siRNA and antisense are restricted from each other because while both are nucleic acids that reduce gene expression, siRNA reduces expression using a different mechanism from that of antisense. The search and examination for both inventions is burdensome because the searches are not coextensive.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Claims 5, 20, 35 of Groups 1 and 2 are drawn to different ways that the syringe injects a pharmaceutical liquid a) gas pressure or b) elastic force of an elastic membrane one way must be elected. Each of the ways is distinct from the other because each require different materials and methods. The search and examination for each way is burdensome because the searches are not coextensive.

Claims 9, 24, 39 of Groups 1 and 2 are drawn to different kinds of skin disorders and one must be elected. Each of the disorders is distinct from the other because each has a different etiology and pathology. The search and examination for each disorder is burdensome because the searches are not coextensive.

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic:

Claims 1-45 of Groups 1 and 2 are generic for the ways that the syringe injects a pharmaceutical liquid.

Claims 1-9, 13-24, 28-39, 43-45 of Groups 1 and 2 are generic for the different skin disorders that are to be treated.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1632

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Effective November 1, 2007, if applicant wishes to present more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims in an application, applicant will be required to file an examination support document (ESD) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 before the first Office action on the merits (hereafter "5/25 claim threshold"). See Changes to Practice for Continued Examination Filings, Patent Applications Containing Patentably Indistinct Claims, and Examination of Claims in Patent Applications, 72 Fed. Reg. 46715 (Aug. 21, 2007), 1322 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 76 (Sept. 11, 2007) (final rule). The changes to 37 CFR 1.75(b) apply to any pending applications in which a first Office action on the merits (FAOM) has not been mailed before November 1, 2007. Withdrawn claims will not be taken into account in determining whether an application exceeds the 5/25 claim threshold. For more information on the final rule, please see http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html.

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in this Office action, applicant is required to file an election responsive to the restriction requirement. Applicant may not file a suggested restriction requirement (SRR) in lieu of an election responsive to the restriction requirement as a reply. A SRR alone will not be considered a *bona-fide* reply to this Office action.

Art Unit: 1632

If applicant elects an invention that is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims, applicant will not be required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims. If the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims, applicant may file an amendment canceling a number of elected claims so that the elected invention would be drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims.

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>on or after November 1</u>, 2007, applicant is also required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims, unless the elected invention is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims. To avoid the abandonment of the application, the ESD (if required) and the election must be filed within **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this Office action. The two-month time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136.

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>before</u> November 1, 2007, the election must be filed within **ONE MONTH** or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this Office action. The time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136. Furthermore, if the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims, the Office will notify applicant and provide a time period in which applicant is required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 covering each of the elected claims or amend the application to contain no more than 5 independent elected claims and no more than 25 total elected claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Hama, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-2911. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras, can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Joanne Hama

Art Unit 1632